

LIST OF BURIEN COMMUNITY QUESTIONS/COMMENTS CONVEYED DURING 3 DIFFERENT COMMENT PERIODS

- What kind of radiation is given out over time on poles with small cells?
- What kind of radiation is allowed within city and residential areas?
- Will you provide radiation levels and effects?
- Is the radiation transmission constant or intermittent?
- What building material is needed to protect from radiation transmission?
- What are the background radiation levels in areas in proximity to node?
- What are the effects on those with cancer living near antennas?
- What is the mitigation plan when radiation is detected?
- What are the effects of radiation upon children and infants living nearby?
- Is there a traffic plan for street blockage during installation and maintenance?
- What is the number of residents needed to stop the small cell proposal?
- Is there a scheduled public hearing for proposal?
- What educational or citizen engagement actions are proposed?
- I am concerned over radiation to female children of household
- I am concerned about radiation around schools
- I am opposed due to existing heart condition
- I am opposed to nodes being placed 30 feet or less to living spaces in homes or into adjacent homes across the street
- Will my property values decrease?
- I am concerned over the loss of my neighborhood aesthetic
- What are the negative health effects from long-term exposure to 4G/5G wi-fi frequencies?
- The project description does not adequately define what "small" is
- There was no information in public notice letter as to the radio frequency field strength produced at each tower and the RF which will be produced within the homes of those immediately adjacent to each tower
- Surely the city has a means to increase the comment period
- Do not place the node in front of my home
- My reception is fine in Gregory Heights, Seahurst Highlands, and Three Tree Point
- Burien City Staff has not adequately demonstrated they have no conflict of interest in approving this project
- Verizon has not indicated how much it intends to pay the owners of the utility poles (SCL, etc.)

- City of Burien has not explained what it has to gain from Verizon's proposal in the way of increased tax revenue from increased wireless transmission, data flow, etc.
- Burien is not adequately equipped or prepared to implement and enforce existing laws which prevent the owners and operators of wireless transmitting and receiving devices and equipment, such as Verizon, from over-exposing its citizens to RF radiation levels which exceed those deemed safe by the FCC.
- City has not addressed that Verizon's proposal would compromise or violate existing zoning ordinance designed to protect its residents' property values, quality of life, integrity of neighborhoods and health and safety.
- Has the city carefully looked at specific addresses in comparison to Verizon's maps?
- Is the City of Burien confident in its analysis from Verizon that it is willing to give its residents a written warranty indicating that it will accept liability for health consequences that can be properly attributed to small cell networks?
- Do not place the node in front of my home
- If it was a done deal why was a Notice of Application sent?
- Has anyone cross-referenced Verizon's "Burien Lake" map with the "Gregory Heights" map to determine how much coverage overlap exists between these two areas?
- Homeowners who reside at designated nodes should have been directly notified
- Can Burien hire additional employees so work load can be shared to inform citizens of critical matters in a timely manner?
- Were local schools and hospital notified and brought into the process?
- Is Burien going to provide a non-Verizon commissioned Community Impact statement to its tax-paying citizens?
- Did anyone in the Department of Community Development actually look at the image of each and every home earmarked for a node, and see the proximity of the node to the home, with his/her own eyes?
- Would council members and city leaders have endorsed and approved Ordinance 679 if it were their home/s being impacted?
- Why isn't the burden being carried by those whom it benefits?
- What local ordinances are in place in Burien that protect its citizens from EMF impact?
- Has the City of Burien explored the alternatives to small cell networks?
- There has been no unified review of different carrier Small cell Facilities.
- There is no City mandated process for assessing total RF radiation load from multiple carrier installations of SCFs.
- Small cells are junkyard on a pole
- It is totally unethical of the City Council to make such grave decisions without open forum discussion

- We cannot rely upon the FCC because it is a captured regulatory agency and no federal agency is acting responsibly, or being accountable for protecting the public and the environment from the health effects of Radio Frequency Radiation.

(RESPONSE WAS GENERIC "NOTICE OF DECISION" SAYING APPEAL WOULD COST \$330 AND HOMEOWNER COULD REQUEST PROPERTY TAX RE-EVALUATION)

-2- Burien Resident List of Concerns